

United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

/	<u>`</u>	_
(Y	
•	•	_/

	ne of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge	James I	3. Moran	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge				
CASE NUMBER 99 CR 497		497 - 1	DATE	3/26/	2002			
	CASE TITLE	United States of America vs. Paul A. Kelly						
MO	[In the following box (a) indicate the party filing the motion, e.g., plaintiff, defendant, 3rd party plaintiff, and (b) state briefly the nature of the motion being presented.]							
Memorandum Opinion and Order								
DOC	CKET ENTRY:							
(1)	☐ Filed	motion of [use listin	g in "Motion" box ab	oove.]		•		
(2)	☐ Brief	Brief in support of motion due						
(3)	☐ Answ	Answer brief to motion due Reply to answer brief due						
(4)	☐ Ruling/Hearing on set for at							
(5)	Status hearing[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at							
(6)	□ Pretr	Pretrial conference[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at						
(7)	□ Trial	Trial[set for/re-set for] on at						
(8)	□ [Ben	[Bench/Jury trial] [Hearing] held/continued to at						
(9)		This case is dismissed [with/without] prejudice and without costs[by/agreement/pursuant to] ☐ FRCP4(m) ☐ General Rule 21 ☐ FRCP41(a)(1) ☐ FRCP41(a)(2).						
(10)	[Other docket entry] Enter Memorandum Opinion and Order. Defendant's motion respecting sections 960 and 963 are unconstitutional expressions of legislative authority is denied.							
(11) [For further detail see order attached to the original minute order.]								
(11)		advised in open court.		·····		Document		
	No notices required.				number of notices	Number		
	Notices mailed by ju	dge's staff.			MAR 28 200			
Notified counsel by telephone.				date docketed				
Docketing to mail notices. Mail AO 450 form.		Lugon Positor	d::010	docketing depaily butials				
	Copy to judge/magistrate judge.		ISTRICT COURTS	U 5 H	document de la constante de la	128		
WAH		courtroom deputy's initials	881 AN 8:89	AN 20	date mailed notice	, , ,		
WELL			e received in Elerk's Office	mailing deputy initials				

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS **EASTERN DIVISION**

FOR THE NORTHERN EASTER	DACKETER	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	MAR 28 2002
Plaintiff,))	•
v.) Case No. 99 CR 497	
PAUL A. KELLY,)	4.
Defendant.)	

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

Defendant contends that 21 U.S.C. §960 is unconstitutional because Congress intended the amounts of drugs to be a sentencing, rather than an elements, factor, and that cannot constitutionally stand in light of Apprendi v. New Jersey, 530 U.S. 466 (2000). But defendant has pled guilty and has thereby waived any attacks on the statute. Even if he had not waived any attack, his contention would fail. Although his position had support from a majority of a panel in United States v. Buckland, 259 F.3d 1157 (9th Cir. 2001) (dealing with a parallel statute, 21 U.S.C. §841) the Ninth Circuit en banc reached a contrary conclusion in United States v. Buckland, 277 F.3d 1173 (9th Cir. 2002). More to the point, the Seventh Circuit rejected defendant's argument in United States v. Brough, 243 F.3d 1078 (7th Cir. 2001), and this court is bound by that decision.

March 26, 2002.